SENATE	JUDICIARY
Exhibit N	1. 15
Date	2-2-07
Bill No.	SB 255

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION COMPARISON OF CURRENT PLAN AND PLAN WITH SENATE BILL 255 RESTRICTIONS

WHO <u>IS NOT</u> COVERED UNDER SB 255 THAT IS CURRENTLY COVERED BY THE PLAN¹

1) A newlywed spouse.

2) A spouse who is legally separated from the employee/official.

3) A domestic partner or common law partner who does not receive half of his/her support from the employee/official.

- 4) A domestic partner or common law partner whose principal place of abode is not the home of the employee/official. Examples include construction workers.
- A son, daughter, stepson or stepdaughter (under 25 and unmarried) of the employee/official who does not receive half of his/her support from the employee/official. Examples include a child in college, working child not living at home, a child receiving child support from the non-custodial parent.
- Any other child with whom the employee/official maintains a parent/child relationship, but does not provide more than half of the child's support. Examples include a foster child or a grandchild cared for while parents are working abroad.
- 7) A physically disabled child over 25 who receives their primary support from a source other than the employee/official. Examples include a child disabled in a car accident who received an insurance settlement.

WHO MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER SB 255 THAT IS CURRENTLY COVERED BY THE PLAN²

- 1) Retirees and their spouses/dependents
- 2) Surviving spouses and surviving children of deceased employee
- 3) Former legislators and their spouses/dependents
- 4) Former judges and their spouses/dependents

² Because SB 255 conflicts with existing state law, these persons may not be covered depending upon whether existing law or SB 255 if found to be controlling.

¹ For purposes of this comparison it is assumed that dependents' coverage begins on the first day of employment/office, although the bill is not clear as to when coverage begins for dependents. It is assumed that an administrative rule could be adopted to clarify when coverage begins for dependents.